

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

LAURA PENDERGEST-HOLT, *et al.*, §
Plaintiffs, §

v. §

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-09-3712

CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT §
LLOYD’S OF LONDON AND ARCH §
SPECIALTY INSURANCE CO., §
Defendants. §

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiff R. Allen Stanford’s Motion for Continuance [Docs. # 168, # 169] and Defendants Certain Underwriters at Lloyd’s of London and Arch Specialty Insurance Company (“Underwriters”) Emergency Motion to Strike Stanford’s Motion to Disqualify and/or for Extension of Time and Page Limits [Doc. # 170] are both **granted in part** and **denied in part** in accordance with this Order. The Court addresses the issues raised by the parties in their respective filings seriatim. It is

ORDERED that Underwriters’ Motion to Strike Stanford’s Motion to Disqualify is **denied**. However, Stanford is

ORDERED to file a table of contents and table of authorities for his Motion to Disqualify [Doc. # 166] and for any supplement filed thereto.

It appearing that Baker Botts L.L.P.'s ("Baker Botts") filings in response to Stanford's Motion to Disqualify Baker Botts in *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Stanford International Bank, LTD.*, 3:09-cv-298-N (N.D. Tex. 2008) ("SEC Litigation"), were filed under seal at Stanford's request, and that such filings are relevant to the pending Motion to Disqualify Underwriters' counsel in the instant case, it is

ORDERED that Stanford shall file by **11:00 a.m., July 7, 2010**, a motion to unseal Baker Botts' filings in response to Stanford's Motion to Disqualify Baker Botts in the SEC Litigation. Underwriters must notify the court in the SEC Litigation of the relief sought by Stanford to which the Underwriters agree. It is further

ORDERED that Stanford must file any supplement to his Motion to Disqualify Underwriters' counsel in the instant case by **July 8, 2010**. It is further

ORDERED that if Stanford seeks the production of emails from Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer, & Feld LLP ("Akin Gump") relating to Akin Gump's representation of Stanford-related entities, he must seek such production through the receivership court. This Court expresses doubt that the production of such emails will lead to admissible evidence. The Court will not extend briefing deadlines to accommodate such production in any event. It is further

ORDERED that Underwriters must file their response to Stanford's Motion to Disqualify within seven days of any supplement filed by Stanford. If Stanford does not file a supplement to his Motion to Disqualify, Underwriters must file their response by **July 13, 2010**. Underwriters is hereby granted leave to exceed the Court's page limits in their response. It is further

ORDERED that Stanford's reply to Underwriters' response remains due within **three days** of the filing of the response.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 6th day of **July, 2010**.



Nancy F. Atlas
United States District Judge