IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

RALPH S. JANVEY, IN HIS CAPACITY AS COURT-APPOINTED RECEIVER FOR THE STANFORD RECEIVERSHIP ESTATE, AND THE OFFICIAL STANFORD INVESTORS COMMITTEE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP, AND THOMAS V. SJOBLOM,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:13-cv-00477-N

RULE 54(b) FINAL JUDGMENT AND BAR ORDER

Before the Court is the Expedited Request for Entry of Scheduling Order and Motion to Approve Proposed Settlement with Chadbourne & Parke LLP, to Approve the Proposed Notice of Settlement with Chadbourne & Parke LLP, to Enter the Bar Order, to Enter the Rule 54(b) Final Judgment and Bar Order, and for Plaintiffs' Attorneys' Fees (the "Motion") of Ralph S. Janvey, in his capacity as the Court-appointed receiver for the Stanford Receivership Estate (the "Receiver") in SEC v. Stanford International Bank, Ltd., Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-0928-N (the "SEC Action"), and the Court-appointed Official Stanford Investors Committee (the "Committee"). [ECF No. ___.] The Motion concerns a proposed settlement (the "Settlement") among and between, on the one hand, the Receiver; the Committee; and Samuel Troice, Pam Reed, Horacio Mendez, Annalisa Mendez, and Punga Punga Financial, Ltd., individually and, in the case of Pam Reed, Samuel Troice, and Punga Punga Financial, Ltd., on behalf of a putative

class of Stanford investors (collectively, the "Investor Plaintiffs"), as plaintiffs in *Troice v. Proskauer Rose, LLP*, No. 3:09-cv-01600-N (N.D. Tex.) (the "Investor Litigation") (the Receiver, the Committee, and the Investor Plaintiffs are collectively referred to as the "Plaintiffs"); and, on the other hand, Chadbourne & Parke LLP ("Chadbourne"), as a defendant in this action and the Investor Litigation. John J. Little, the Court-appointed Examiner (the "Examiner") signed the Settlement Agreement as chair of the Committee, and as Examiner solely to evidence his support and approval of the settlement and to confirm his obligations to post the Notice on his website, but is not otherwise individually a party to the Settlement, this action, or the Investor Litigation.

Following notice and a hearing, and having considered the filings and heard the arguments of counsel, the Court hereby GRANTS the Motion.

I. INTRODUCTION

The SEC Action, the Investor Litigation, and this case all arise from a series of events leading to the collapse of Stanford International Bank, Ltd. ("SIBL"). On February 16, 2009, this Court appointed Ralph S. Janvey to be the Receiver for SIBL and related parties (the "Stanford Entities"). [SEC Action ECF No. 10.] After years of diligent investigation, the Plaintiffs believe that they have identified claims against a number of third parties, including Chadbourne, that Plaintiffs claim enabled the Stanford Ponzi scheme. In the Investor Litigation, the Investor Plaintiffs assert claims against Chadbourne, and other defendants in that action, for aiding and abetting violations of the Texas Securities Act (the "TSA"); aiding and abetting/participation in a fraudulent scheme; civil conspiracy; and negligent retention/negligent

¹ The "Settlement Agreement" refers to the Settlement Agreement that is attached as Exhibit 1 of the Appendix to the Motion (ECF No.).

supervision of former Chadbourne partner Thomas V. Sjoblom ("Sjoblom").² In this action, the Receiver and Committee assert claims against Chadbourne, and the other defendants in that action, for professional negligence; aiding, abetting, or participation in breaches of fiduciary duties; aiding abetting, or participation in a fraudulent scheme; aiding, abetting, or participation in fraudulent transfers; aiding, abetting, or participation in conversion; civil conspiracy; and negligent retention/negligent supervision, with the Receiver assigning to the Committee all of these claims except for the Receiver's negligence claim.³

Multiparty negotiations occurred in 2014 and again in late 2015. In these negotiations, potential victims of the Stanford Ponzi scheme were well-represented. The Investor Plaintiffs, the Committee—which the Court appointed to "represent[] in this case and related matters" the "customers of SIBL who, as of February 16, 2009, had funds on deposit at SIBL and/or were holding certificates of deposit issued by SIBL (the 'Stanford Investors')" (ECF No. 1149)—the Receiver, and the Examiner—who the Court appointed to advocate on behalf of "investors in any financial products, accounts, vehicles or ventures sponsored, promoted or sold by any Defendant in this action" (ECF No. 322)—all participated in the extensive, arm's-length negotiations in 2014, before the retired Honorable Harlan Martin, and then, in December 2015, before the retired Honorable Layn R. Phillips and Gregory Lindstrom, Esq. Negotiations continued and, in February 2016, the Parties reached agreement resulting in the Settlement. For several weeks thereafter, the parties continued efforts to negotiate and document the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The parties executed the Settlement Agreement on , 2016.

² By Order dated March 4, 2015, the Court dismissed with prejudice the claims against Chadbourne for negligent retention/negligent supervision and for aiding and abetting TSA violations with respect to the alleged sale of unregistered securities and the sale of securities by unregistered dealers to the extent they are based on sales taking place prior to October 9, 2006.

³ By Order dated June 23, 2015, the Court dismissed with prejudice the claim against Chadbourne for aiding and abetting fraudulent transfers.

Under the terms of the Settlement, Chadbourne will pay \$35 million (the "Settlement Amount") to the Receivership Estate, which (less attorneys' fees and expenses) will be distributed to Stanford Investors. In return, Chadbourne seeks total peace with respect to all claims that have been, or could have been, asserted against Chadbourne, arising out of the events leading to these proceedings. Accordingly, the Settlement is conditioned on the Court's approval and entry of this Rule 54(b) Final Judgment and Bar Order (the "Final Judgment and Bar Order") enjoining Interested Parties from asserting or prosecuting claims against the Chadbourne Released Parties.

On, 2016, the Receiver and the Committee filed the Motion. [ECF No].			
The Court thereafter entered a Scheduling Order on, 2016 [ECF No], which, inter			
alia, authorized the Receiver to provide notice of the Settlement, established a briefing schedule			
on the Motion, and set the date for a hearing. On, 2016, the Court held the scheduled			
hearing. For the reasons set forth herein, the Court finds that the terms of the Settlement			
Agreement are adequate, fair, reasonable, and equitable, and that the Settlement should be and is			
hereby APPROVED . The Court further finds that entry of this Final Judgment and Bar Order is			
appropriate.			

II. ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, **AND DECREED** as follows:

- 1. Terms used in this Final Judgment and Bar Order that are defined in the Settlement Agreement, unless expressly otherwise defined herein, have the same meaning as in the Settlement Agreement (which is deemed incorporated herein by reference).
- 2. The Court has "broad powers and wide discretion to determine the appropriate relief in [this] equity receivership," including the authority to enter the Final Judgment and Bar

Order. *SEC* v. *Kaleta*, 530 F. App'x 360, 362 (5th Cir. 2013) (internal quotations omitted). Moreover, the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, and the Receiver and the Committee are proper parties to seek entry of this Final Judgment and Bar Order.

- 3. The Court finds that the methodology, form, content and dissemination of the Notice: (i) were implemented in accordance with the requirements of the Scheduling Order; (ii) constituted the best practicable notice; (iii) were reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise all Interested Parties of the Settlement, the releases therein, and the injunctions provided for in this Final Judgment and Bar Order and in the Final Bar Order to be entered in the SEC Action; (iv) were reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise all Interested Parties of the right to object to the Settlement, this Final Judgment and Bar Order, and the Final Bar Order to be entered in the SEC Action, and to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (v) were reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice; (vi) met all applicable requirements of law, including, without limitation, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including Due Process), and the Rules of the Court; and (vii) provided to all Persons a full and fair opportunity to be heard on these matters.
- 4. The Court finds that the Settlement, including, without limitation, the Settlement Amount, was reached following an extensive investigation of the facts and resulted from vigorous, good-faith, arm's-length, mediated negotiations involving experienced and competent counsel. The Court further finds that (i) significant issues exist as to the merits and value of the claims asserted against Chadbourne by Plaintiffs and by others whose potential claims are foreclosed by this Final Judgment and Bar Order; (ii) such claims contain complex and novel issues of law and fact that would require a substantial amount of time and expense to litigate, with uncertainty regarding whether such claims would be successful; (iii) a significant risk exists

that future litigation costs would dissipate receivership assets and that Plaintiffs and other persons who have submitted claims to the Receiver ("Claimants") may not ultimately prevail on their claims; (iv) Plaintiffs and Claimants who have filed Claims with the Receiver will receive partial satisfaction of their claims from the Settlement Amount being paid pursuant to the Settlement; and (v) Chadbourne would not have agreed to the terms of the Settlement in the absence of this Final Judgment and Bar Order unless it was assured of "total peace" with respect to all claims that have been, or could be, asserted arising from their relationship with the Stanford Entities. See SEC v. Kaleta, No. 4:09-3674, 2012 WL 401069, at *4 (S.D. Tex. Feb. 7, 2012), aff'd, 530 F. App'x 360 (5th Cir. 2013) (approving these factors for consideration in evaluating whether a settlement and bar order are sufficient, fair, and necessary). The injunction against such claims as set forth herein is therefore a necessary and appropriate order ancillary to the relief obtained for victims of the Stanford Ponzi scheme pursuant to the Settlement. See Kaleta, 530 F. App'x at 362 (affirming a bar order and injunction against investor claims as "ancillary relief' to a settlement in an SEC receivership proceeding). After careful consideration of the record and applicable law, the Court concludes that the Settlement is the best option for maximizing the net amount recovered from Chadbourne for the Receivership Estate, Plaintiffs, and the Claimants.

5. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and upon motion by the Receiver in the SEC Action, this Court will approve a Distribution Plan that will fairly and reasonably distribute the net proceeds of the Settlement to Stanford Investors who have Claims approved by the Receiver. The Court finds that the Receiver's claims process and the Distribution Plan contemplated in the Settlement Agreement have been designed to ensure that all Stanford

Investors have received an opportunity to pursue their Claims through the Receiver's claims process previously approved by the Court [ECF No. 1584].

- 6. The Court further finds that the Parties and their counsel have at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 7. Accordingly, the Court finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of all Persons claiming an interest in, having authority over, or asserting a claim against Chadbourne, the Stanford Entities, or the Receivership Estate, including but not limited to the Plaintiffs, the Interested Parties, the Receiver, and the Committee. The Settlement, the terms of which are set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is hereby fully and finally approved. The Parties are directed to implement and consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement and this Final Judgment and Bar Order.
- 8. Pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 40 of the Settlement Agreement, as of the Settlement Effective Date, the Chadbourne Released Parties shall be completely released, acquitted, and forever discharged from any action, cause of action, suit, liability, claim, right of action, or demand whatsoever, whether or not currently asserted, known, suspected, existing, or discoverable, and whether based on federal law, state law, foreign law, common law, or otherwise, and whether based on contract, tort, statute, law, equity or otherwise, that the Investor Plaintiffs; the Receiver; the Receivership Estate; the Committee; the Claimants; and the Persons, entities and interests represented by those Parties ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may have, directly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, for, upon, arising from, relating to, or by reason of any matter, cause, or thing whatsoever, that, in full or in part, concerns, relates to, arises out of, or is in any manner connected with (i) the Stanford Entities;

- (ii) any certificate of deposit, depository account, or investment of any type with any one or more of the Stanford Entities; (iii) Chadbourne's relationship with any one or more of the Stanford Entities; (iv) Chadbourne's provision of services to or for the benefit of or on behalf of the Stanford Entities; or (v) any matter that was asserted in, could have been asserted in, or relates to the subject matter of the SEC Action, the Investor Litigation, this action, or any proceeding concerning the Stanford Entities pending or commenced in any Forum. The foregoing release, however, does not extend to claims against Sjoblom arising out of any work performed by Sjoblom during the time of his affiliation with Proskauer Rose LLP ("Prosakuer"). Pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 45 of the Settlement Agreement, in the event that any of the Plaintiffs obtain a judgment in any action against Sjoblom relating in any way to the subject matter of this Action, the Investor Litigation, or the Receiver Litigation, they agree to limit execution of the judgment against Sjoblom to recovery of any available insurance proceeds under policies naming Proskauer as an insured.
- 9. Pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 41 of the Settlement Agreement, as of the Settlement Effective Date, the Plaintiffs Released Parties shall be completely released, acquitted, and forever discharged from all Settled Claims by Chadbourne.
- 10. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Final Judgment and Bar Order, the foregoing releases do not release the Parties' rights and obligations under the Settlement or the Settlement or bar the Parties from enforcing or effectuating the terms of the Settlement or the Settlement Agreement. Further, the foregoing releases do not bar or release any claims, including but not limited to the Settled Claims, that Chadbourne may have against any Chadbourne Released Party, including but not limited to its insurers, reinsurers, employees, and agents.

11. The Court hereby permanently bars, restrains, and enjoins the Receiver, the Plaintiffs, the Claimants, the Interested Parties, and all other Persons or entities anywhere in the world, whether acting in concert with the foregoing or claiming by, through, or under the foregoing, or otherwise, all and individually, from directly, indirectly, or through a third party, instituting, reinstituting, intervening in, initiating, commencing, maintaining, continuing, filing, encouraging, soliciting, supporting, participating in, collaborating in, or otherwise prosecuting, against Chadbourne or any of the Chadbourne Released Parties, the Investor Litigation, this action, any of the actions listed in Exhibit E to the Settlement Agreement, or any action, lawsuit, cause of action, claim, investigation, demand, complaint, or proceeding of any nature commenced after the issuance of the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in Chadbourne & Parke LLP v. Troice, 134 S. Ct. 1058 (Feb. 26, 2014), including but not limited to litigation, arbitration, or other proceeding, in any Forum, including, without limitation, any court of first instance or any appellate court (other than in an appeal from this Final Judgment and Bar Order), whether individually, derivatively, on behalf of a class, as a member of a class, or in any other capacity whatsoever, that in any way relates to, is based upon, arises from, or is connected with the Stanford Entities; this case; the Investor Litigation; SEC Action; the subject matter of this case, of the Investor Litigation, or of the SEC Action; or any Settled Claim. The foregoing specifically includes, without limitation, the claims filed against Chadbourne in ARCA Investments v. Proskauer Rose LLP, Civil Action No. 3:15-CV-02423-D (N.D. Tex.) (the "ARCA *Investments* Litigation"). The foregoing also specifically includes any claim, however denominated, seeking contribution, indemnity, damages, or other remedy where the alleged injury to such Person, entity, or Interested Party, or the claim asserted by such Person, entity, or Interested Party, is based upon such Person's, entity's, or Interested Party's liability to any

Plaintiff, Claimant, or Interested Party arising out of, relating to, or based in whole or in part upon money owed, demanded, requested, offered, paid, agreed to be paid, or required to be paid to any Plaintiff, Claimant, Interested Party, or other Person or entity, whether pursuant to a demand, judgment, claim, agreement, settlement or otherwise. The foregoing bar, restraint, and injunction does not apply to, and shall not prevent, the institution or continuation of any action, lawsuit, cause of action, claim, investigation, demand, complaint, or proceeding, against Sjoblom related to work performed by Sjoblom during the time of his affiliation with Proskauer. Further, notwithstanding the foregoing, there shall be no bar of any claims, including but not limited to the Settled Claims that Chadbourne may have against any Chadbourne Released Party, including but not limited to its insurers, reinsurers, employees and agents. Further, the Parties retain the right to sue for alleged breaches of the Settlement Agreement.

- 12. The releases and the covenants not to sue set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and the releases, bars, injunctions, and restraints set forth in this Final Judgment and Bar Order, do not limit in any way the evidence that Plaintiffs may offer in the continuing lawsuits against Sjoblom, Proskauer, and P. Mauricio Alvarado related to Sjoblom's work while affiliated with Proskauer, including but not limited to evidence of knowledge Sjoblom may or may not have acquired during the time period he was affiliated with Chadbourne.
- 13. Nothing in this Final Judgment and Bar Order shall impair or affect or be construed to impair or affect in any way whatsoever, any right of any Person, entity, or Interested Party to: (a) claim a credit or offset, however determined or quantified, if and to the extent provided by any applicable statute, code, or rule of law, against any judgment amount, based upon the Settlement or payment of the Settlement Amount; (b) designate a "responsible third party" or "settling person" under Chapter 33 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code; or

- (c) take discovery under applicable rules in litigation; provided for the avoidance of doubt that nothing in this paragraph shall be interpreted to permit or authorize (x) any action or claim seeking to recover any monetary or other relief from Chadbourne or any Chadbourne Released Party filed after the issuance of the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Chadbourne & Parke LLP v. Troice*, 134 S. Ct. 1058 (Feb. 26, 2014), or (y) the commencement, assertion or continuation of any action or claim against Chadbourne or any Chadbourne Released Party filed after the issuance of the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Chadbourne & Parke LLP v. Troice*, 134 S. Ct. 1058 (Feb. 26, 2014), including any action or claim seeking to impose any liability of any kind (including but not limited to liability for contribution, indemnification or otherwise) upon Chadbourne or any Chadbourne Released Party, including, but not limited to, the *ARCA Investments* Litigation as to Chadbourne.
- 14. Chadbourne and the Chadbourne Released Parties have no responsibility, obligation, or liability whatsoever with respect to the content of the Notice; the notice process; the Distribution Plan; the implementation of the Distribution Plan; the administration of the Settlement; the management, investment, distribution, allocation, or other administration or oversight of the Settlement Amount, any other funds paid or received in connection with the Settlement, or any portion thereof; the payment or withholding of Taxes; the determination, administration, calculation, review, or challenge of claims to the Settlement Amount, any portion of the Settlement Amount, or any other funds paid or received in connection with the Settlement or the Settlement Agreement; or any losses, attorneys' fees, expenses, vendor payments, expert payments, or other costs incurred in connection with any of the foregoing matters. No appeal, challenge, decision, or other matter concerning any subject set forth in this paragraph shall

operate to terminate or cancel the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, or this Final Judgment and Bar Order.

- 15. Nothing in this Final Judgment and Bar Order or the Settlement Agreement and no aspect of the Settlement or negotiation or mediation thereof is or shall be construed to be an admission or concession of any violation of any statute or law, of any fault, liability, or wrongdoing, or of any infirmity in the claims or defenses of the Parties with regard to any of the complaints, claims, allegations, or defenses in the Investor Litigation, this action, or any other proceeding.
- 16. Chadbourne is hereby ordered to deliver or cause to be delivered the Settlement Amount (\$35 million) as described in Paragraph 26 of the Settlement Agreement. Further, the Parties are ordered to act in conformity with all other provisions the Settlement Agreement.
- 17. Without in any way affecting the finality of this Final Judgment and Bar Order, the Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the Parties for purposes of, among other things, the administration, interpretation, consummation, and enforcement of the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, the Scheduling Order, and this Final Judgment and Bar Order, including, without limitation, the injunctions, bar orders, and releases herein, and to enter orders concerning implementation of the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, the Distribution Plan, and any payment of attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' counsel.
- 18. The Court expressly finds and determines, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), that there is no just reason for any delay in the entry of this Final Judgment and Bar Order as to Chadbourne, which is both final and appealable as to Chadbourne, and immediate entry of final judgment as to Chadbourne by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

Case 3:09-cv-00298-N Document 2301-1 Filed 04/20/16 Page 66 of 83 PageID 65617

19. This Final Judgment and Bar Order shall be served by counsel for the Plaintiffs,

via email, first class mail or international delivery service, on any person or entity that filed an

objection to approval of the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, or this Final Judgment and

Bar Order.

20. All relief as to Chadbourne not expressly granted herein, other than Plaintiffs'

request for approval of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, which will be addressed by a separate order, is

denied. This is a final Rule 54(b) judgment. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter Judgment

as to Chadbourne in conformity herewith.

Signed	on	, 2016

DAVID C. GODBEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE